SCHOOL BUILDING TASK FORCE JOINT BOARDS MEETING

JUNE 19, 2006

AGENDA

- INTRODUCTIONS
- HOW WE STARTED: THE CHARGE
- REVIEW TO DATE
- NEXT STEPS
- MSBA GUIDELINES
- QUESTIONS/COMMENTS/FEEDBACK

INTRODUCTIONS

- ELLEN STURGIS, CHAIR
- GARY BERNKLOW, Treasurer
- ROB KAUFMAN, Facilitator
- SARA KILKENNYProcurement Officer

- BILL BYRON
- LYNN COLLETTI
- LISA D'ALESSIO
- NORM FARRIS
- GEORGE NISOTEL
- STEVE QUINN
- TOM RYAN
- PETE RHODES

ASSOCIATES ETC

- RICK LENT, ASSOCIATE FACILITATOR
- MICHAEL WOOD, SUPERINTENDENT & EX-OFICIO

- ERNIE DODD,PLANNING BOARDLIAISON
- JIM SAUTA, COA LIAISON
- ANNE DRAUDT, FREQUENT VISITOR

HOW WE STARTED

SELECTMEN & STOW SCHOOL COMMITTEE SCOPE

■ BOTTOM LINE:

TWO TO THREE OPTIONS TO PRESENT TO TOWN NO LATER THAN MAY 2007

Objective

■ "TO COLLABORATE AND DEVELOP A CONSENSUS ON TWO OR MORE BUILDING AND/OR RENOVATION OPTIONS FOR GRADES PREK-5 AND PRESENT THEM, WITH COST ESTIMATES, AT THE MAY 2007 ANNUAL TOWN MEETING, OR SOONER IF POSSIBLE"

WHERE HAVE WE BEEN

- APRIL: Developed ground rules and procedures, task force structure
- MAY: Teams assigned to
 - Evaluating old data;
 - Earmarking new data needs; and
 - Creating criteria for evaluating options
- JUNE:
 - "Must have" criteria list developed
 - Five categories gleaned from SBC options

CATEGORIES FOR SOLUTIONS

- BRING TO CODE
- RENOVATE
- ADD/RENOVATE
- NEW SCHOOL/CENTER
- NEW SCHOOL/NEW SITE

NEXT STEPS

JUNE/JULY:

REVIEW ONE OPTION FROM EACH OF THESE CATEGORIES

COMPARE AGAINST OUR CRITIERIA

FUNDAMENTAL ASSUMPTIONS

- Proposals will meet MSBA guidelines
- Solution will utilize the Center School campus if possible
- All designs will emphasize cost and space efficiencies
- Meet enrollment projection of 722 students (currently at 611)

CHECK IN AGAINST CHARGE

- ✓ AGREE ON NEEDS
- ✓ USE INFO FROM FORMER SBCS
- ✓ USE 10 YEAR ENROLLMENT FIGURES
- ✓ USE EDUC STANDARDS FOR PREK-5
- MAXIMIZE STATE REIMBURSEMENT
- PRIORITIZE NEEDS AND DETERMINE COSTS
- o MINIMIZE COST & TAX IMPACT
- o PREPARE 2-3 PROPOSALS

NEW MSBA GUIDELINES

- CRITERIA
- REIMBURSEMENT
- RANKING
- TIMELINE
- FUTURE/NEXT STEPS

Statutory Criteria for New Projects

- 1. Health and Safety
- 2. Existing Severe Overcrowding
- 3. Loss of Accreditation
- 4. Prevent Future Severe Overcrowding
- 5. Increase Energy Conservation and Decrease Energy Costs
- 6. Short-term Enrollment Growth
- 7. Replace Obsolete Buildings
- 8. Transition from Court Ordered Racial Balance Districts to Walk-to Districts

From MSBA 11-05

Reimbursement Rates, per C70B

- Base rate of 31%
- Ability to Pay Factors:
 - EQV Property Wealth 0-28% (Source:DOR)
 - Median Income Comparison 0-12% (Source:DOR)
 - % of Students in Federal Free/Reduced Lunch 0-17% (Source: DOE)

Reimbursement Rates (continued)

- INCENTIVE POINTS:
 - 3% Innovative Community Use
 - 2% Energy Efficiency
 - 0-8% Maintenance of Other Buildings
 - 0.5% match for every 1% privately raised....
 - 4% "Alternatives to Construction"
 - 0-5% for Renovations:
 - 5% Reno
 - 4% Major Reconstruction
 - 0% New

MSBA RANKING

- SCHOOL FACILITIES NEEDS SURVEY:
 - ONE is "Good Condition" and needs no attention
 - TWO is "Generally Good" but some systems may need attention
 - THREE is "Moderate" and more systems may need attention
 - FOUR is "Poor Condition" and possible candidate for replacement

RANKING – STOW SCHOOLS

- POMPOSITTICUT: TWO
 - GOOD MAINTENANCE AND RECENT
 IMPROVEMENTS WORKED AGAINST US
- CENTER: THREE
- STILL CLARIFYING INFORMATION USED AND HOW IT WAS RANKED

MSBA TIMELINE/PROCESS

PHASE 1: MSBA determines building condition, enrollments; Town submits statement of interest

PHASE 2: MSBA Board selects projects based on comprehensive facilities assessment

QUESTIONS

SLIDES WILL BE POSTED AT WWW.STOW-MA.GOV

CLICK ON TOWN GOVERNMENT/SBTF